Category	Excellent	Satisfactory	Below Satisfaction
Project Description (25 POINTS) Students are asked to: Provide a short abstract or overview of the proposed research project, including the background information and context, purpose, objectives, and methodological approach of the project. Please use language for a broad audience.	Score Card 1 - (4-5 points) Excellent background information and context are provided in a way that is appropriate to allow the reader to fully understand the project.	(2-3 points) Relevant background information and context are provided to mostly allow the reader to understand the project. However, there may be too much or too little background and context provided.	(0-1 points) Background information and context are insufficient for the reader to understand the project. <i>Or</i> this aspect is <i>missing</i> (0 points).
	Score Card 2 - (4-5 points) The purpose of the research is clearly articulated, providing the motivation and justification for undertaking the research. If appropriate, the student clearly identifies a gap or a challenge the research aims to tackle.	(2-3 points) The purpose of the research is <i>somewhat</i> clearly articulated, but it is a bit difficult to understand the motivation and justification for undertaking the research.	(0-1 points) The purpose of the research is not clearly articulated, so it is <i>very difficult</i> to understand the motivation and justification for undertaking the research. <i>Or</i> this aspect is <i>missing</i> (0 points).
	Score Card 3 - (4-5 points) The objectives or goals of the research project are provided. The objectives/goals are manageable and appropriate for the research term length, so it is reasonable that the project could be completed.	(2-3 points) The objectives or goals of the research project are provided and are somewhat manageable and appropriate for the research term length. It seems fairly reasonable that the project could be completed, but it might be slightly too large or small in scope.	(0-1 points) The objectives or goals of the research project are provided. However, they seem unmanageable and inappropriate for the research term length, so it is unlikely that the project could be completed as proposed. Or this aspect is missing (0 points).
	Score Card 4 - (4-5 points) Given the disciplinary context, the methodological approach is well-aligned and appropriate for the project's purpose and objectives.	(2-3 points) It is somewhat difficult to determine if the methodological approach is aligned and appropriate for the project's purpose and objectives.	(0-1 points) The methodological approach appears poorly aligned and appropriate for the project's purpose and objectives. <i>Or</i> this aspect is <i>missing</i> (0 points).
	Score Card 5 - (4-5 points) Language choice and writing: The project description is exceptionally clear, concise, and easy to understand for someone outside the discipline. Field-specific jargon, if used, is clearly explained.	(2-3 points) Language choice and writing: The project description is mostly clear and easy to understand. However, some field-specific jargon or lack of information makes it somewhat difficult	

Originality, Creativity, and
Significance
(20 POINTS)

Students are asked to: Highlight the originality, creative aspects, and significance of your research project. Be sure to describe how the research contributes to the broader scholarly field and aims to

Score Card 6 - (4-5 points) The project's originality is clearly and convincingly described. The research is a novel, creative approach to examining a problem or question.

Score Card 7 - (8-10 points) It is clear how the research fits into and contributes to the broader scholarly or creative field. There is a clear description of how the research aims to advance knowledge or understanding within or outside the

(2-3 points) The project's originality is somewhat clearly and convincingly described. The research may not be entirely novel, but it is a creative approach to examining a problem or question.

(4-7 points) It is mostly clear how the research fits into and contributes to the broader scholarly or creative field. There is a mostly clear description of how the research aims to advance knowledge or understanding within or outside of the field.

(0-1 points) The project's originality is not clearly nor convincingly described. The research is not a novel nor a creative approach to examining a problem or question.

(0-3 points) It is unclear how the research fits into and contributes to the broader scholarly or creative field. It is unclear how the research aims to advance knowledge or understanding within or outside the field. *OR* this aspect is *missing* (0 points)

ΙĠ

Students may also answer the optional question, which can be used for part of the rubric: Is there anything else we should know about your application? For example, any situations that might have impacted your academic record. This information can be used to assess this criterion.

Mentorship and Research Environment (20 POINTS)

SUPERVISORS are asked to:
Describe the research
environment in which the student
will be working and include
mentoring opportunities, the role
of the student, and benefits to you
as a mentor. Please do not refer to
the student by name and use
gender-neutral language.
(maximum 300 word count)

- Previous work, volunteer, community engagement
- Academic research and/or creative activity training
- Previous research experience and/or research awards held
- o Relevant community involvement and outreach
- Coursework, connections to a degree program, course load, year in program pursued
- Providing examples of how they have demonstrated initiative and independence in non-research contexts
- Providing examples of how they have demonstrated judgement and ability to think critically
- Demonstrated ability to apply skills and knowledge in different contexts

Score Card 11 - (4-5 points) Research environment – the supervisor describes excellent opportunities for students to function in a learning space, develop research partnerships and collaborations, and/or have exposure to other researchers.

(2-3 points) Research environment – the supervisor describes good opportunities for students to function in a learning space, develop research partnerships and collaborations, and/or exposure to other researchers.

for research is somewhat incomplete

or unclear.

(0-1 points) Research environment -

)1ese-(

training in research techniques, sk and approaches.	lls, techniques, skills, and approaches. <i>OR</i> this aspect is <i>missing</i> .
Score Card 13 - (4-5 points) Role clarity – the student and faculty mentor's role, involvement, and activities are carefully described at explained. Roles are appropriate, given the context. The expected student contributions to research output are clearly defined.	(2-3 points) Role clarity – the student and faculty mentor's role, involvement, and activities are somewhat described and explained. Roles are somewhat appropriate, given the context. The expected student contributions to research output are somewhat defined. (0-1 points) Role clarity – the student and faculty mentor's role, involvement, and activities are not described and explained. OR roles are inappropriate, given the context. The expected student contributions to research output are not defined.
Score Card 14 - (4-5 points) Benefit to the mentor – the supervisor cleadescribes why they want to mentot the student, the benefits, and how they see this opportunity contributo their professional career.	the supervisor somewhat clearly The superviso20 (s)-18 (o)-s describes why they want to mentor the student, the benefits, and how